Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/612,397	NARHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ivan H. Carpio	2841
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) <u>Ivan H. Carpio</u> .	(3)	
(2) Alfred Fressola.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>6 April 2006</u>	Time: <u>2:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Rejection(s) discussed.	•	
Claims discussed:		
Claims 19, 34,37 and 38		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		· .
		•
171 Mil		
RANDY W. GIBSON		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		0

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed examiners amendment of claims 19 and 34 to contain allowable claim language in order to rejoin restricted claims with the allowable selected claims, and furthermore discussed cancelation of duplicate claim 37 and ammendment of claim 38 to correct dependancy.